

ABN: 47 095 792 288

Level 9, 553 Hay St  
Perth, WA 6000

T: +61 8 6374 1700

E: info@panres.com

## ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

14 September 2021

### Savannah Nickel Operations Update

#### KEY POINTS

- Underground mining progressing well with ore production ramping up month-on-month as planned
- Ore stockpiled at surface of 54,644t at the end of August is on track for the 100,000t target for when the processing plant restarts in November
- Grade control drilling on the first mining level at Savannah North has confirmed strong and continuous mineralisation and provides increased confidence in stope designs
- Activities on track for first nickel-copper-cobalt concentrate shipment in December 2021

Panoramic Resources Limited (ASX:PAN) (“**Panoramic**” or the “**Company**”) is pleased to provide an update on operational activities currently underway at the Savannah Nickel Project to support the target of first nickel-copper-cobalt concentrate shipment in December 2021.

#### Underground Mining

Underground mining has continued to progress well throughout August with momentum maintained since mining commenced in early July 2021. All activities have been carried out safely by underground mining contractor Barmenco, with operating and financial performance achieving planned targets. Table 1 below shows the physicals achieved since commencement of operations in July. During July and August, the focus was on maintaining one Jumbo, one long hole rig and four trucks, with a fifth truck expected to arrive in September to complement the current fleet.

**Table 1: Mining physicals achieved at Savannah**

Activity	Jul-21	Aug-21
Jumbo development (m)	402	362
Ore trucked to surface (t)	14,315	40,329
Blasted stock underground (t)	21,948	39,980
Drilled stock to blast (t)	85,949	88,568
Total ore on surface stockpile (t)	14,315	<b>54,644</b>

Pleasingly production from Savannah stopes have progressed to plan with single stope blasts of 30,000t being carried out with no technical difficulties. Dilution to date in the stopes has been minimal and development drive dilution is currently sitting at 10% which is better than budget with several improvement projects underway to further minimise dilution. The grades mined to date are in line with expectations based on preliminary sampling with final grade reconciliation to be available once the processing plant has been commissioned and concentrate produced.

## Processing Plant

A mobilisation crew from processing plant contractor Primero has been on site since the middle of August to carry out familiarisation and preparatory work in anticipation of processing commencing in November. All maintenance works carried out on the plant to date are ahead of schedule and within budgeted costs. Primero is well progressed in securing the necessary workforce to commence operations on time.

## Concentrate Haulage

Following a competitive tender process, a three-year concentrate haulage contract has been awarded to Cambridge Gulf Limited (“CGL”). CGL will be responsible for haulage services, including the loading of concentrate into trailers on site, road transport to Wyndham and discharge of concentrate into the Wyndham Shed. In addition to concentrate haulage, CGL has also been awarded a three year fuel supply contract following a competitive tender and continue to be the preferred supplier of stevedoring services at the Port of Wyndham when concentrate shipments resume. CGL is a local contractor based in Kununurra and Wyndham which has previously worked at Savannah and employs a local workforce with no reliance on FIFO workers.

## Grade Control Drilling Program

The first grade control drill program at Savannah North was undertaken from the 1381 footwall drive to facilitate final stope designs for the 1381 production level which is scheduled to begin stope production in late October. The grade control drill program, which was completed during August, involved 20 drill holes for a total of 912 drill metres with 597 samples collected and submitted for assay.

Results from the program have now been received and are summarised in Table 1 (Appendix 1). The position of the grade control holes relative to the 1381 level, existing drill hole pierce points and preliminary life of mine (LOM) stope areas is shown in Figure 1. Appropriate JORC 2012 compliance tables (Sections 1 and 2) are presented in Appendix 2.


Better results for the 1381 grade control program include:

- 26.95m @ 1.44% Ni, 0.43% Cu, 0.10% Co from 30.05m in KUD1719;
- 12.95m @ 1.64% Ni, 0.39% Cu, 0.12% Co from 33.05m in KUD1721;
- 12.80m @ 1.52% Ni, 0.64% Cu, 0.10% Co from 17.25m in KUD1727;
- 12.70m @ 1.93% Ni, 0.68% Cu, 0.14% Co from 15.05m in KUD1735; and
- 11.40m @ 1.68% Ni, 0.63% Cu, 0.13% Co from 16.50m in KUD 1738.

The 1381 grade control drill results confirm the strong and continuous nature of the Savannah North mineralisation in this area of the mine. Historic Resource Definition drilling angles (from the 1570 stockpile drill drive at Savannah) were not optimal, however the grade control drilling completed from the 1381 footwall drives were drilled from an ideal position and resulted in good drill angles and better intercepts. The results augur well for the future 1341, 1361 and 1401 grade control drill programs that will be implemented once footwall access is completed on these levels in the coming months.

Stoping in Savannah North is planned for late October and given the current results from the grade control program, final mine designs of the production stopes for the 1381 level can continue with high confidence.

**Figure 1: Long-section of the 1381 Grade control and historic drilling intercepts with development drives, proposed slope and mineralisation shapes.**



Commenting on the mining operations, Managing Director and CEO, Victor Rajasooriar said:

*“It is pleasing to see all the plans put in place over the past 12 months now being successfully executed. Ore production is steadily ramping up with the surface ore stockpile growing and preparations for the commencement of the processing plant in November being on track. The maiden grade control drilling program completed at Savannah North has shown some promising results with the return of some better than modelled intercepts in terms of width and grade. Most importantly, we are on schedule for the first Savannah North stope to be mined later in October this year and the first shipment of nickel-copper-cobalt concentrate in December 2021.”*

## Competent Person

The information in this release that relates to Exploration Planning at Savannah is based on information compiled by Andrew Shaw-Stuart. Andrew Shaw-Stuart is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and is a full-time employee and shareholder of Panoramic Resources Limited. Mr Shaw-Stuart also holds performance rights to shares in relation to Panoramic Resources Limited.

The aforementioned has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of target/deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Shaw-Stuart consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears

## This ASX release was authorised on behalf of the Panoramic Board by:

Victor Rajasooriar, Managing Director and CEO

### For further information contact:

#### Investor enquiries

Victor Rajasooriar  
Managing Director and CEO  
Panoramic Resources  
T: +61 8 6374 1700

#### Media contact

Michael Vaughan  
Fivemark Partners  
T: +61 422 602 720  
michael.vaughan@fivemark.com.au

## About Panoramic:

Panoramic Resources Limited (ASX: PAN) is a Western Australian company which owns the Savannah Nickel Project in the East Kimberley. Panoramic successfully commissioned and operated the Project from 2004 until 2016 before the mine was placed on care and maintenance. Following the discovery of the Savannah North orebody, the mine was recommissioned in 2018 before operations were temporarily suspended in 2020. Panoramic has completed an updated Mine Plan for Savannah which has outlined an attractive near-term nickel sulphide mine restart opportunity. Following the completion of a ventilation shaft for the Savannah North deposit, additional underground capital development and ancillary works, the Board of Panoramic approved the restart of Savannah in April 2021 with a target of first concentrate shipment by the end of 2021.

## Forward Looking Statements:

This announcement contains certain “forward-looking statements” and comments about future matters. Forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as, “expect”, “anticipate”, “likely”, “intend”, “should”, “could”, “may”, “predict”, “plan”, “propose”, “will”, “believe”, “forecast”, “estimate”, “target” “outlook”, “guidance” and other similar expressions within the meaning of securities laws of applicable jurisdictions. Indications of, and guidance or outlook on, future earnings or financial position or performance are also forward-looking statements. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Any such statements, opinions and estimates in this announcement speak only as of the date hereof and are based on assumptions and contingencies subject to change without notice, as are statements about market and industry trends, projections, guidance and estimates. Forward-looking statements are provided as a general guide only. The forward-looking statements contained in this announcement are not indications, guarantees or predictions of future performance and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of the Company, and may involve significant elements of subjective judgement and assumptions as to future events which may or may not be correct.

There can be no assurance that actual outcomes will not differ materially from these forward-looking statements. A number of important factors could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are based on information available to the Company as at the date of this announcement.

Except as required by law or regulation (including the ASX Listing Rules), the Company undertakes no obligation to supplement, revise or update forward-looking statements or to publish prospective financial information in the future, regardless of whether new information, future events or results or other factors affect the information contained in this announcement.

## Appendix 1

**Table 1- Summary of Savannah North Grade Control Drilling**

HoleID	East (m)	North (m)	RL (m)	Dip (deg)	Azi (deg)	EOH (m)	From (m)	To (m)	Intercept (m @ % Ni)	Cu (%)	Co (%)
KUD1719	396216.2	8082416.6	1386.4	9.8	328.0	62.85	30.05	57	26.95m @ 1.44 %Ni	0.43	0.10
KUD1720	396216.0	8082416.5	1386.4	11.7	314.8	56.80	31.15	39.9	8.75m @ 1.72 %Ni	0.48	0.13
KUD1721	396215.9	8082416.5	1386.6	15.2	303.7	61.20	33.05	46	12.95m @ 1.64 %Ni	0.39	0.12
KUD1722	396177.8	8082404.3	1386.5	26.3	344.3	48.10	20.06	27.3	6.7m @ 1.69 %Ni	0.61	0.11
KUD1723	396177.2	8082404.3	1385.8	13.6	332.2	50.30	26.4	36.5	10.1m @ 1.82 %Ni	0.38	0.14
KUD1724	396177.4	8082404.0	1386.5	26.5	326.4	45.10	21	28.55	7.55m @ 1.86 %Ni	0.78	0.14
KUD1725	396160.6	8082397.3	1386.4	29.9	345.4	41.50	17.5	18.5	14.5m @ 1.42 %Ni	0.50	0.11
KUD1726	396160.3	8082397.4	1385.5	15.0	330.7	42.00	19.4	29.9	11.15m @ 1.53 %Ni	1.59	0.11
KUD1727	396160.7	8082397.5	1386.7	31.1	318.9	40.30	17.25	30.05	12.8m @ 1.52 %Ni	0.64	0.10
KUD1734	396160.3	8082397.0	1386.5	23.9	299.9	44.70	19	36	17m @ 1.16 %Ni	0.49	0.08
KUD1735	396123.1	8082383.2	1386.3	32.2	358.0	38.60	15.05	27.75	12.7m @ 1.93 %Ni	0.68	0.14
KUD1736	396123.0	8082383.1	1385.1	15.5	354.6	41.90	18.2	29.9	11.7m @ 1.28 %Ni	0.51	0.10
KUD1737	396123.1	8082383.2	1386.6	36.1	331.4	41.20	15	38.5	23.5m @ 1.25 %Ni	0.82	0.09
KUD1738	396123.0	8082383.1	1385.2	17.4	327.3	36.00	16.5	27.9	11.4m @ 1.68 %Ni	0.63	0.13
KUD1739	396123.1	8082383.2	1386.4	32.5	309.0	35.70	17.05	22.35	5.3m @ 1.56 %Ni	0.43	0.11
KUD1740	396095.1	8082355.0	1385.3	17.7	4.5	47.70	31.15	31.6	8.2m @ 0.93 %Ni	0.72	0.06
KUD1741	396094.9	8082354.8	1384.8	9.8	354.1	47.60	31.4	32.75	1.35m @ 1.5 %Ni	0.30	0.11
KUD1742	396094.9	8082354.9	1385.4	24.2	344.3	41.70	26.55	31.5	4.95m @ 0.86 %Ni	0.25	0.06
KUD1743	396094.8	8082354.8	1384.8	9.2	320.5	47.60	31	33.7	2.7m @ 1.53 %Ni	0.21	0.11
KUD1744	396094.8	8082354.9	1385.4	26.3	320.0	40.80	27.35	29.05	2.5m @ 1.56 %Ni	0.26	0.11

- Notes:
1. Intervals are down-hole lengths, not true-widths
  2. Parameters: 0.5% Ni lower-cut off, with a minimum reporting interval of 1m and discretionary internal waste to a maximum of 2.0 consecutive metre.
  3. SG calculated by regression analysis

## Appendix 2 – 2012 JORC Disclosures

### Savannah Project -Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Savannah mine and surrounding exploration areas are typically sampled by diamond drilling techniques. Over 1600 holes have been drilled within the mine for a total in-excess of 220,000m. The majority of holes were drilled from underground platforms.</li> <li>Initial Resource definition drilling is conducted on a nominal 50 x 50 metre grid spacing with subsequent infill grade control drilling conducted on a nominal 25 x 25 metre grid spacing.</li> <li>Historically, all drill hole collars were surveyed using Leica Total Station survey equipment by a registered surveyor. Down hole surveys are typically performed every 30 metres using either "Reflex EZ Shot" or "Flexit Smart Tools".</li> <li>All diamond core is geologically logged with samples (typically between 0.2 metre to 1 metre long) defined by geological contacts. Analytical samples are dominantly sawn half core samples. Sample preparation includes pulverising to 90% passing 75 µm followed by either a 3 acid digest &amp; AAS finish at the Savannah onsite laboratory or a total 4 acid digest with an ICP OES finish if the samples are analysed off-site.</li> <li>Since 2019 Bureau Veritas has operated the on-site laboratory. Sample preparation and assaying of all drill samples now involves crushing and pulverizing the sample to 80% passing 75µm followed by Ni, Cu, Co, Fe, MgO and S analysis by XRF of metaborate fused glass beads. The XRF brand is a ZETIUM Pan-analytical instrument.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Greater than 90% of the mine drill hole database consists of LTK60 and NQ2 size diamond holes. Exploration and resource Resource definition drill holes are typically NQ2 size. Infill grade control holes are typically LTK60. Historically, some RC holes were drilled about the upper part of the mine.</li> <li>The diamond drill holes pertaining to this announcement were a combination of NQ2 and LTK60 size.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diamond core recoveries are logged and recorded in the database. Overall recoveries are typically &gt;99% and there are no apparent core loss issues or significant sample recovery problems.</li> <li>Hole depths are verified against core blocks.</li> <li>Regular rod counts are performed by the drill contractor.</li> <li>There is no apparent relationship between sample recovery and grade.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All diamond holes pertaining to this announcement were geologically logged in full.</li> <li>Geotechnical logging was carried out for</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<p>recovery and RQD. The number of defects (per interval) and their roughness were recorded about ore zones.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Details of structure type, alpha angle, infill, texture and healing is also recorded for most holes and stored in the structure table of the mine drill hole database.</li> <li>Logging protocols dictate lithology, colour, mineralisation, structural (DDH only) and other features are routinely recorded.</li> <li>All diamond core was photographed wet.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analytical core samples pertaining to this announcement were full core.</li> <li>Sample sizes are considered appropriate to represent the Savannah North style of mineralisation.</li> <li>SG determinations by water immersion technique are restricted to Resource definition and Exploration holes at Savannah and are not performed on grade control holes.</li> <li>All core sampling and sample preparation follow industry best practice.</li> <li>QC involves the addition of purchased CRM and Savannah derived CRM assay standards, blanks, and duplicates. At least one form of QC is inserted in most sample batches on average one in every 20 samples.</li> <li>Original versus duplicate assay results have always shown strong correlation due to the massive sulphide rich nature of the Savannah North mineralisation.</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All sample analyses pertaining to this announcement were performed at the Savannah Nickel Mine on-site laboratory, which is operated by Bureau Veritas. Sample preparation and assaying of all drill samples involves crushing and pulverizing the sample to 80% passing 75µm followed by Ni, Cu, Co, Fe, MgO and S analysis by XRF of metaborate fused glass beads. The XRF brand is a ZETIUM Pan-analytical instrument.</li> <li>No other analytical tools or techniques are employed.</li> <li>The onsite laboratory uses internal standards, duplicates, replicates, blanks and repeats and carries out all appropriate sizing checks.</li> <li>External laboratory checks are occasionally performed. No analytical bias has been identified.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drilling and sampling procedures at SNM have been inspected by many stakeholders since the project began.</li> <li>Throughout the life of the mine, there have been several instances where holes have been twinned to confirm intersections and continuity.</li> <li>In respect to the drill holes pertaining to this announcement, no holes were twinned.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Holes are logged into OCRIS software on Toughbook laptop computers before the data is transferred to SQL server databases.</li> <li>All drill hole and assay data is routinely validated by site personnel.</li> <li>No adjustments are made to assay data.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All diamond drill hole collars are picked-up using Leica TS15, R1000 instrument by a registered surveyor.</li> <li>Downhole surveys are performed using an Axis Champ North Seeking Gyro instrument. Survey interval no more than 30m.</li> <li>Visual checks to identify any obvious errors regarding the spatial position of drill holes collars or downhole surveys are routinely performed in a 3D graphics environment using Surpac software.</li> <li>The mine grid is a truncated 4 digit (MGA94) grid system.</li> <li>Conversion from local grid to MGA GDA94 Zone 52 is calculated by applying truncated factor to local coordinates is E: +390000, N: +8080000.</li> <li>High quality topographic control is established across the mine site. RL equals AHD + 2,000m.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Savannah North Project nominal underground grade control drill hole spacing is 25m (easting) by 25m (RL).</li> <li>The mineralized domains delineated by the drill hole spacing show enough continuity to support the classification applied under the JORC Coe (2012 Edition).</li> <li>No sample compositing is undertaken.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where possible drill holes are designed to be drilled perpendicular to the target area being tested.</li> <li>No orientation sampling bias has been identified.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill samples are collected and transported to the on-site laboratory by SNM staff. Samples sent off site are road freighted.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No recent audits/reviews of the Savannah drill sampling protocols have been undertaken. The procedures are considered to be of the highest industry standard. Mine to mill reconciliation records throughout the life of the Savannah Project provide confidence in the sampling procedures employed at the mine.</li> </ul>



## Savannah North Project - Section 2 - Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Savannah Nickel Mine (SNM), incorporating the Savannah North Project is an operating mine secured by five contiguous Mining Licences, ML's 80/179 to 80/183 inclusive. All tenure is current and in good standing. SNM has the right to explore for and mine all commodities within the mining tenements, being.</li> <li>SNM has all statutory approvals and licences in place to operate. The mine has a long standing off-take agreement to mine and deliver nickel sulphide concentrate to the Jinchuan Group in China.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since commissioning in 2004, SNM has conducted all surface and underground exploration and drilling related activities on the site.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The SNM is based on mining ore associated with the Savannah and Savannah North palaeo-proterozoic mafic/ultramafic intrusions. The "Savannah-style" Ni-Cu-Co rich massive sulphide mineralisation occurs as "classic" magmatic breccias developed about the more primitive, MgO rich basal parts of the two intrusions.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All in-mine drilling at SNM is conducted on the Savannah mine grid, which is a "4 digit" truncated MGA grid. Conversion from local to MGA GDA94 Zone 52 is calculated by applying truncated factor to local coordinates of: E: +390000, N: +8080000. RL equals AHD + 2,000m.</li> <li>Additional drill hole information pertaining to this announcement includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All diamond holes were either NQ2 or LTK60.</li> <li>All core is oriented and photographed prior to logging, cutting and sampling.</li> <li>All intersection intervals are reported as down-hole lengths and not true widths.</li> <li>All reported assay results were performed by the on-site laboratory.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All analytical drill intercepts pertaining to this announcement are based on sample length by grade weighted averages using a 0.5% lower cut-off, a minimum reporting length of 1m and maximum of 2m on consecutive internal waste.</li> <li>Cu and Co grades are determined for the same Ni interval defined above using the same procedures.</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All intersection lengths reported in this accompanying release are down hole lengths and not true widths.</li> <li>Where reported, estimates of True Width are</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>intercept lengths</b>	<p>nature should be reported.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<p>stated only when the geometry of the mineralization with respect to the drill hole angle is sufficiently well established.</p>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A simplified sectional view of the drill hole intercept positions pertaining to this announcement is deemed sufficient at this time.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Based on the fact that, all the drill results pertaining to the drill program described in this announcement are reported in the announcement, the report is considered to be sufficiently balanced.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No other data is considered material to this release at this stage.</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The infill grade control drill results reported herein for the Savannah North Project are the initial drill program since the mine was re-opened in June 2021. Further results will be reported for subsequent drill programs when they become available.</li> </ul>